

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of Fruitridge Vista Water Company, a trust, for an order:
1) establishing a moratorium on new service connections; and 2) clarification of Tariff Rule 15 regarding payment for new facilities servicing new applicants.

Application 05-10-005
(Filed October 7, 2005)

Sacramento Housing and Redevelopment
Agency and the Housing Authority of the County
of Sacramento,

Complainants,

vs.

Fruitridge Vista Water Company,

Defendant.

Case 05-10-007
(Filed October 11, 2005)

County of Sacramento,

Complainant,

vs.

Fruitridge Vista Water Company,

Defendant.

Case 05-10-011
(Filed October 7, 2005)

David R. Gonzalez & Donna L. Gonzalez,

Complainants,

vs.

Fruitridge Vista Water Company,

Defendant.

Case 05-09-011
(Filed September 6, 2005)

Mercy Properties California, Complainant, vs. Fruitridge Vista Water Company, Defendant.	Case 05-09-012 (Filed September 6, 2005)
Victoria Station, LLC, Complainant, vs. Fruitridge Vista Water Company, Defendant.	Case 05-09-027 (Filed September 22, 2005)
Park Place LLC, Complainant, vs. Fruitridge Vista Water Company, Defendant.	Case 05-11-015 (Filed November 15, 2005)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING
GRANTING EXTENSION FOR TESTIMONY AND HEARING**

Through Administrative Law Judge Mediator Michelle Cooke, the parties in this consolidated proceeding have requested a 30-day extension for exchange of testimony and for conducting an evidentiary hearing. Further mediation has been scheduled in January 2006.

The request is without opposition and is granted. However, other hearings and commitments in February compel me to grant an approximately 45-day extension of time to move the evidentiary hearing into March 2006. Accordingly, the procedural schedule announced in the Scoping Memo and Ruling of Assigned Commissioner is amended as follows:

All parties serve direct written testimony	February 24, 2006
All parties serve reply written testimony	March 10, 2006
Evidentiary hearing in Sacramento	March 22-24, 2006 at 10:00 a.m.
Proposed ALJ Decision	April, 2006

The location of the evidentiary hearing in Sacramento will be announced later.

IT IS RULED that:

1. The unopposed request for an extension of time for exchange of testimony and for conducting an evidentiary hearing is granted.
2. The procedural schedule for this matter is amended as set forth herein.

Dated December 19, 2005, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ GLEN WALKER

Glen Walker
Administrative Law Judge

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I have by mail this day served a true copy of the original attached Administrative Law Judge's Ruling Granting Extension for Testimony and Hearing on all parties of record in this proceeding or their attorneys of record.

Dated December 19, 2005, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ FANNIE SID

Fannie Sid

N O T I C E

Parties should notify the Process Office, Public Utilities Commission, 505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 2000, San Francisco, CA 94102, of any change of address to insure that they continue to receive documents. You must indicate the proceeding number on the service list on which your name appears.

The Commission's policy is to schedule hearings (meetings, workshops, etc.) in locations that are accessible to people with disabilities. To verify that a particular location is accessible, call: Calendar Clerk (415) 703-1203.

If specialized accommodations for the disabled are needed, e.g., sign language interpreters, those making the arrangements must call the Public Advisor at (415) 703-2074, TTY 1-866-836-7825 or (415) 703-5282 at least three working days in advance of the event.